

**MONTGOMERY-OTSEGO-SCHOHARIE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY  
BOARD MEETING #14**

**DATE:** December 10, 2009  
**LOCATION:** MOSA Administrative Complex, Howes Cave

**Members Present:**

Martha Clarvoe  
John Mattas  
David Parker  
Olga Podmajersky  
Philip Skowfoe  
John Thayer  
Harold Vroman  
Edward Wesnofske

**Others Present:**

Dennis Heaton  
Barbara Corrigan  
Christine Chale  
Terry Bliss  
Mark Robarge (Recorder)

**1. Opening – Roll Call**

Chairman Thayer called the meeting to order at 1:48 p.m. and asked for roll call.

**2. Adoption of Agenda**

Chairman Thayer asked for a motion to adopt the agenda. Mr. Mattas advised that he would like to reconsider the previously tabled Resolution No. 58 of 2009 under “Other Business”. Mr. Parker so moved; Mr. Vroman seconded and on voice call the motion unanimously carried.

**3. Privilege of the Floor**

Chairman Thayer offered privilege of the floor to the public; no one came forth.

**4. Adoption of Minutes of Prior Meetings – October 21, November 4 and November 17, 2009**

Chairman Thayer asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the October 21, 2009 meeting; Mr. Wesnofske so moved; Mr. Mattas seconded and on voice call the motion unanimously carried.

Chairman Thayer asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the November 4, 2009 meeting; Mr. Wesnofske so moved; Ms. Podmajersky seconded and on voice call the motion unanimously carried.

Chairman Thayer asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the November 17, 2009 meeting; Mr. Wesnofske so moved; Ms. Podmajersky seconded and on voice call the motion unanimously carried.

**5. Post Closure Update**

Mr. Heaton reported on the progress of the Post Closure Agreement:

- ✓ All three Counties have accepted the agreement.
- ✓ Montgomery and Otsego Counties have already signed; Schoharie County is slated to sign this evening.
- ✓ All three Counties have chosen the “Tip Fee Method”.
- ✓ MOSA will send a copy of the executed Post Closure Agreement to DEC.

**6. Tip Fee Adjustment Method Agreement**

Ms. Chale advised that she had prepared a letter and *Tip Fee Adjustment Method Agreement* for the Counties. She explained the documents as follows:

- ✓ This agreement is distinct from the Subsidization Agreement with each County.
- ✓ The Counties require an Annual Engineer’s Report be accompanied by a statement indicating the aggregate amount required to be paid to the Post Closure Operating Fund for the succeeding calendar year based on the AER.

- ✓ The signing of these agreements is not a condition of defeasance and will not hold up the process.
- ✓ The agreement will include a schedule of the County's monthly and cumulative tonnage estimates.
- ✓ If deliveries are below the estimates, the County will have to pay the difference.
- ✓ A County can terminate the Tip Fee Method Agreement if they so choose and make a lump sum post closure payment instead.
- ✓ If the Service Agreement is revised the agreements can be changed.

Discussion of GAT shortfall and how it will be addressed in light of these tip fee adjustment agreements follows:

- ✓ Deliverer pays for post closure costs through the tip fee.
- ✓ Counties pay any shortfall.
- ✓ Ms. Chale will amend the letter to include language explaining the computation of the shortfall if there is a GAT penalty allocable for 2010, specific to each County.
- ✓ If a County's tonnage is over the estimate, the County will receive a credit.
- ✓ Tonnages are reconciled monthly.
- ✓ Monthly reports will be made to the County.

## 7. Reports

### a. Chairman

Chairman Thayer reported that he had attended the Otsego County Solid Waste Committee meeting where there had been discussion on their increased cost of recycling with the current vendor. Recycling costs had increased by 15% at \$102 per ton. Mr. Bliss remarked that an RFP would be going out for recycling and if a better proposal came in, Otsego County had 60 days to back out of the current contract.

Discussion on recycling:

- ✓ Chairman Thayer and Mr. Heaton have discussed the possibility of submitting a proposal for recycling.
- ✓ Mr. Heaton advised that he has a proposal which includes:
  - Utilizing MOSA staff and equipment (trucks/containers)
  - Lease/rental of roll-off containers
  - Hiring of additional staff if necessary
- ✓ Chairman Thayer stated that there is an opportunity for recycling in all three Counties. MOSA could charge a fee for recycling. The current vendor for Otsego County does not deliverer their waste to MOSA.
- ✓ Mr. Skowfoe remarked that Schoharie County's recycling currently is hauled to STS.
- ✓ Chairman Thayer asked that the Board seriously consider this opportunity and stated that he believes MOSA can do it.

Chairman Thayer advised that he has asked Mr. Heaton to look at the operation at NTS and evaluate if the site could be open more hours/days and if commercial traffic could be handled at a reasonable cost. Mr. Heaton stated that he was looking into the possibilities at the site and would be checking out the site's compactor with Mr. Rothrock.

### b. Executive Director

Mr. Heaton read through a summary of his activities from December 1st through December 9<sup>th</sup>; a copy

of which is attached to these minutes.

Mr. Heaton thanked Mr. Bliss for his assistance in facilitating the Post Closure Agreement sign off.

Mr. Heaton also thanked the staff at OTS and NTS for their work on the free clean-up days.

**c. Finance**

Ms. Corrigan reported on the monthly financials as follows:

- ✓ Ms. Chale will update the Board on the bond information later in the meeting.
- ✓ Reviewed the Reserve Account Balances & Activity and T&D summary.
- ✓ Noted that ATS was receiving clean debris from a house that fell down and that the tonnage had been credited to Montgomery Counties GAT.
- ✓ Expenses remain within budget.
- ✓ Budget transfers from Rate Stabilization need to be made through resolution during today's meeting.

**8. Committee Reports**

**a. Audit**

Mr. Wesnofske reported on the Audit Committee meeting held on November 17th as follows:

- ✓ Mr. Parker and the committee reviewed a number of details of the business including:
  - Bond activity
  - Credit card usage
  - Handling of cash transactions at transfer stations
  - Reviewed bank reconciliations
- ✓ Recommendations from the review follow:
  - Authorizing the Executive Director to apply for a credit card for the use of Authority business
  - Once per year reviewing the Authority's financial policies for handling cash transactions with the staff at the transfer stations
  - Staff to review and create a more formal policy to be used at all the scale houses

Mr. Wesnofske made a motion to authorize the Executive Director to apply for a credit card for the use of Authority business and for the limit on the card to be \$2500. Mr. Skowfoe seconded and on voice call the motion unanimously carried.

**b. Finance**

Ms. Podmajersky reported on the Finance Committee meeting held on December 3<sup>rd</sup> as follows:

- ✓ Ms. Podmajersky advised that defeasance had been the main subject of the meeting and that Ms. Chale would be reporting further on it.
- ✓ Resolutions 66, 67 and 68 had all been reviewed and were being recommended to the Board for consideration.

**1. Defeasance**

Ms. Chale reported on the defeasance process as follows:

- ✓ Explained the "Escrow Deposit Agreement" including computations and rates depending on maturity dates.

- ✓ Advised that a verification agent, a CPA firm, had been engaged at a cost of \$2,100 and was approved by Ms. Corrigan.
- ✓ The trustee will be M&T (the bond trustee) at a cost of \$3,000 - \$5,000.
- ✓ The trustee is being directed by MOSA.
- ✓ Need to work with EFC (Environmental Facilities Corporation) on additional requirements; will have separate Escrow Agreements for the 1994A and 2003 bonds.
- ✓ All parties are aware of the need to be done by December 30, 2009.
- ✓ Treasuries cannot be ordered until everyone has signed off on the agreement.
- ✓ Final rebate calculation will be done by EFC and MOSA will pay for it in 2010; estimated amount is between \$3,000 and \$5,000. The rebate analyst will advise the exact amount and we will advise the escrow agent.
- ✓ Resolution #70 – Ms. Chale explained the basic points as follows:
  - Authorizes defeasing the bonds
  - Approves the escrow agent (our trustee on the 2003 bonds)
  - Separate escrow agreement with EFC for the 1994A bonds
  - Authorizes execution of an Escrow Agreement
  - Covers both sets of bonds
  - Covers verifications

Questions/answers by Ms. Chale:

- ✓ Is there something in place that ensures the trustee is making payments? The Escrow Agreement takes care of this; the bonds are a trust asset, not property of the agent's estate.
- ✓ Do we receive monthly reports from the agent to assure us that we are getting the interest we planned on? Monthly reports are not received; however, we can ask the agent to provide a yearly report/financial statement. The safety net is the verification agent who is a CPA; these are treasuries, the safest investment. MOSA will receive a Certification of Defeasance after the closing.
- ✓ Is there a backup plan if the December 30, 2009 deadline is not attainable? The plan is to obtain the final numbers and execute the agreement before the end of the year.
- ✓ Resolution #66
  - Additional funds are listed on the resolution as needed to complete the funding of defeasance
  - The numbers change daily and that is why the need for extra funding on this resolution

**2. Treasurer's Report (attached)**

- ✓ T&D tonnages are down
- ✓ Year to Date amount in the Revenue Account is \$10,238, 911
- ✓ Disbursements in October were \$972,499.23
- ✓ Disbursements in November were \$912,413.24

**c. Personnel**

Mr. Vroman reported on the Personnel Committee meeting held earlier in the day as follows:

- ✓ The committee reviewed Resolutions 73 and 74 and were recommending them to the Board for consideration.

- ✓ The committee would like to add discussion of a stipend for the Acting Executive Director under “Other Business”.

**d. Planning**

Mr. Mattas reported on the Planning Committee meeting held earlier in the day as follows:

- ✓ The Board members present at the meeting need to decide if they want to pursue the Carver method or some other method for planning.
- ✓ The next Planning Meeting will be held one hour prior to the next scheduled Board meeting.
- ✓ The suggestion was made that this subject be put under the purview of the Governance & Ethics committee next year.

**9. Resolutions**

**a. Resolution #67 Authorizing the Executive Director to Execute Subsidization Agreements**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Wesnofske so moved and Ms. Podmajersky seconded.

Ms. Podmajersky asked if the subsidy agreements were the same as last year; Mr. Heaton responded that they were.

On roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted.

**b. Resolution #68 Authorizing the Transfer of Budget Funds**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Ms. Podmajersky so moved and Mr. Mattas seconded.

Mr. Wesnofske asked if we would finish out the year without another adjustment; Ms. Corrigan advised that this resolution is through the end of November and that there would be an additional transfer from T&D to ATS in December.

On roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted.

**c. Resolution #69 Confirming Naming of Secretary of MOSA for Year 2009**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Wesnofske so moved and Ms. Podmajersky seconded.

Mr. Wesnofske asked if an Assistant Secretary should be named as well; Ms. Chale asked if the position was in the bylaws.

After confirming that the position was in the bylaws, Mr. Wesnofske made a motion to amend the resolution to include naming Barbara Corrigan of Canajoharie, NY as Assistant Secretary of MOSA for Year 2009; Mr. Mattas seconded and on roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted as amended.

**d. Resolution #66 Regarding Funding of Defeasance**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Wesnofske so moved and Mr. Vroman seconded.

Ms. Podmajersky questioned what would be left after funding defeasance. Mr. Heaton replied there would be \$3.2 million and \$400,000 in receivables plus shortfall payments.

Mr. Parker remarked that the numbers given at the Finance Committee meeting were different than the numbers presented here. Ms. Corrigan explained that the numbers today are based on actuals and the numbers at the Finance Committee meeting had been estimated on the information available at the time.

On roll call vote the resolution was adopted with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, Olga Podmajersky, Philip Skowfoe, John Thayer, Harold Vroman and Edward Wesnofske voting aye. Mr. Parker was absent from the room at the time of this vote.

**e. Resolution #70 Authorizing Defeasance of Bonds & Execution of Escrow Agreement**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Wesnofske so moved and Mr. Vroman seconded.

Mr. Wesnofske remarked that we may have more problems to face down the road but that he would support defeasance. He continued, noting that MOSA would now be more vulnerable and financially weak and suggested the Counties should consider implementing flow control.

Ms. Podmajersky responded that the alternative is a tip fee so high that haulers will not come in. She remarked that she was pro flow control but that Hans Arnold had warned against pursuing it.

Chairman Thayer remarked that he doesn't disagree that working with a limited budget will be tricky but the Authority needs to perform due diligence in getting the best possible contract prices; he noted that the Counties will not subsidize the tip fee beyond 2010.

Mr. Skowfoe remarked that with defeasance lowering the tip fee, flow control would not be used to pull up the GAT, but would be "economic" flow control.

Mr. Parker recalled a meeting in Cherry Valley where the County Board had discussed the possibility of setting aside \$1 million in an account towards defeasance, but that it was too late to pursue that now.

Ms. Podmajersky remarked that there had been no support for that from the County or the Executive Director at that time.

On roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted.

**f. Resolution #71 Amending 2010 Operating Budget for Defeasance & Post Closure**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Vroman so moved and Mr. Mattas seconded.

After some discussion, it was decided to add language to the last "resolved" as follows: **"contingent upon MOSA's existing bond defeasance or January 1, 2010, whichever is later"**.

Mr. Heaton noted that Resolution #54 of 2009 had authorized a contribution from Rate Stabilization to the Operating Fund not to exceed \$270,539 and that Resolution #71 would lower that amount.

On roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted.

**g. Resolution #72 Adjusting 2010 Official MOSA Tipping Fee**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Wesnofske so moved and Ms. Clarvoe seconded.

Mr. Skowfoe inquired if the amount of the tip fee included the \$3 for Post Closure costs; Mr. Heaton advised that it did and noted that both Otsego and Schoharie Counties had passed subsidy amounts of \$14 per ton and that Montgomery County was expected to continue with the existing subsidy amount of \$20.50 per ton; however, the Board had not yet met.

On roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted.

**h. Resolution #73 Authorizing Compensation for Certain MOSA Management Employees**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Vroman so moved and Mr. Wesnofske seconded.

Mr. Wesnofkse remarked that the resolution calls for a 2% increase on base salary for the staff listed and noted that the CESA contract has a greater percentage increase for labor staff. He went on to say that it is better than nothing; however, it is advisable to keep competitive salaries in order to keep good staff.

Mr. Mattas stated that we need to be aware of available cash and seriously consider pinching pennies. He believes this is a good cause but some have to bite the bullet; he will support the resolution but noted that we need to try to be frugal.

Mr. Wesnofkse noted that historically, staff has left because of salaries and it was necessary to reduce qualifications for these positions in order to lower salaries. He went on to say that this cost the Authority about \$100,000 in seeking out and training new accounting staff at competitive market rates.

Mr. Skowfoe remarked that this was a public entity and it is his opinion that the wages are exorbitant compared to Schoharie County wages. He went on to say that he had done research on competitive salaries and would not support the resolution.

Ms. Podmajersky remarked that lowering the skill requirements was done on the recommendation of the Executive Director at that time.

Chairman Thayer noted that the staff is qualified and competent and Union employees receive benefits of yearly increases but non-Union employees do not. He went on to say that this causes dissention among staff and the 2% increase is less than Union employees receive, but is at least something.

On roll call vote, the resolution was adopted with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, David Parker, Olga Podmajersky, John Thayer, Harold Vroman and Edward Wesnofske voting aye and Philip Skowfoe voting nay.

**i. Resolution #74 Adopting Policy for Workplace Violence**

Chairman Thayer asked for a sponsor and a second. Mr. Vroman so moved and Mr. Mattas seconded

and on roll call vote, the resolution was unanimously adopted.

## 10. Other Business

### a. Set organizational meeting date for January 2010

As per MOSA bylaws, the organizational meeting was set for January 26, 2010 at 2:00 p.m.

### b. Solid Waste & Recycling Conference May 16-19, 2010

Mr. Mattas made a motion to allow for only Mr. Heaton to attend the conference; Mr. Wesnofske seconded.

Mr. Wesnofske asked Ms. Corrigan if it was valuable to attend the conference. Ms. Corrigan responded that it was.

Mr. Wesnofske made a motion to amend the original motion to include a second attendee; Ms. Clarvoe seconded.

Mr. Mattas stated that he believes it is a worthy event to attend; however, he is being frugal and will not support the amended motion.

On roll call vote, the motion to amend carried with Martha Clarvoe, David Parker, Olga Podmajersky, John Thayer, Harold Vroman and Edward Wesnofske voting aye and John Mattas and Philip Skowfoe voting nay.

On roll call vote, the motion as amended carried with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, David Parker, Olga Podmajersky, John Thayer, Harold Vroman and Edward Wesnofske voting aye and Philip Skowfoe voting nay.

### c. Resolution #58 Clarifying Practice of Reimbursement of Governing Board of Directors for Travel Expense

Mr. Mattas made a motion to reconsider Resolution #58 with the amended language to the resolved; Ms. Podmajersky seconded. Amended language as follows:

**“Resolved, that the Authority shall approve mileage reimbursement only from the members primary resident located in the County of Board membership appointment; that members are to submit claims for reimbursement no less frequently than quarterly, and be it**

**Further Resolved, that this practice shall be made retroactive to January 1, 2009”**

Mr. Mattas asked Ms. Chale what she found out about the legalities of this resolution. Ms. Chale remarked that she had spoken to the Comptroller’s office who offered no specific guidance on the Board, only on employees. She went on to say that she had spoken to several other Boards who were surprised that MOSA reimburses members for travel and that most municipalities do not. Ms. Chale advised that she was of the opinion that Board members are far flung and she believes it is reasonable for the Authority to reimburse travel expenses. Ms. Chale questioned the retroactive date and the complications it may cause for reimbursements already made throughout the year.

Chairman Thayer made a motion to amend the language in the “Further Resolved” as follows: “that this practice shall be put in effect as of December 10, 2009”. On roll call vote, the motion carried unanimously.

Ms. Clarvoe remarked that her work was closer than her residence.

Mr. Skowfoe noted that there was nothing saying you had to put in for reimbursement or that you had to travel from far away to attend a meeting if you were on vacation or away on business.

Mr. Wesnofske remarked that Public Authorities Law specifies that “actual” expenses be reimbursed.

Mr. Parker remarked that he believes a regular meeting and a special, unscheduled meeting should be handled differently.

Mr. Mattas stated that he believes it is the intent of the Counties to reimburse for normal activity, not travel from anywhere. He went on to say that he believes some members are flagrantly abusing the system. Mr. Mattas stated that he was aware that Mr. Wesnofske submitted mileage from Long Island.

Mr. Wesnofske pressed Mr. Mattas for details of this knowledge; Mr. Mattas replied from staff and Board members.

Mr. Skowfoe called the question; Chairman Thayer recognized Mr. Skowfoe and ended the discussion.

Mr. Wesnofske remarked that he believes the resolution is illegal.

Mr. Mattas made a motion to adopt the resolution as amended; Ms. Podmajersky seconded.

On roll call vote, the resolution was adopted as amended with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, Olga Podmajersky, Philip Skowfoe, John Thayer and Harold Vroman voting aye, Edward Wesnofske voting nay and David Parker abstaining.

**d. Tip Fee Adjustment Letter**

Ms. Chale read the letter to the Board and advised that some additional language would be added that in the event that a County owed a GAT Shortfall Penalty for 2010, the penalty would be adjusted to take into account any monthly reconciliation payments made under the agreement letter.

Chairman Thayer made a motion to authorize the Executive Director to execute and circulate the Tip Fee Adjustment Letters; Ms. Podmajersky seconded and on voice call vote, the motion carried with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, David Parker, Olga Podmajersky, Philip Skowfoe, John Thayer and Harold Vroman voting aye and Edward Wesnofske voting nay.

**e. OTS Tip Wall**

Mr. Heaton distributed his proposal on the addition of an outside tip wall to help facilitate residential deliveries at the Oneonta Transfer Station and explained the proposal and the site plan. He noted that the estimated cost is \$10,500, the cement pad already exists and there is no paving associated with the proposal.

Ms. Podmajersky inquired about manpower. Mr. Heaton advised that currently, staff help to keep residential customers out of the building and will no longer have to do this if the tip wall is installed. He went on to say that it is not the perfect solution but it will help alleviate the situation.

Mr. Parker noted the \$10,500 would be refunded from the current OTS project to use for this project.

Chairman Thayer asked if this plan could be utilized in the future for recycling; Mr. Heaton responded that in alleviating traffic problems it may be possible to use the area for recycling.

Chairman Thayer asked if he was secure with the figure; Mr. Heaton responded that he was very secure with the figure and that the construction firm was still on site.

Mr. Wesnofske asked if staff would instruct residential customers where to go; Mr. Heaton responded that signage and supervisor training would be provided.

Mr. Mattas made a motion to authorize a change order to include the OTS Tip Wall proposal in the ongoing OTS Structural Repair work; Mr. Vroman seconded.

Mr. Parker stated that he would not support the motion.

Mr. Wesnofske questioned the safety and design of the plan. Mr. Heaton stated that the design included a 25' tip wall with railings that have enough space between them to throw waste through. He also noted that an engineer from Stopen Engineering, Bob Cosselman, had looked at the plan and design and approved it.

On roll call vote, the motion carried with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, Olga Podmajersky, Philip Skowfoe, John Thayer and Harold Vroman voting aye and David Parker and Edward Wesnofkse voting nay.

**f. Stipend for Acting Executive Director**

Mr. Wesnofske made a motion that the Board approve a stipend of \$3,000 and one week paid leave to the Director of Finance and Administration in recognition for serving as Acting Executive Director for three months; Chairman Thayer seconded.

Mr. Parker stated that he would support the one week paid leave but not the compensation.

Chairman Thayer remarked that she had fulfilled two responsibilities for the three months; Acting Executive Director and Director of Finance and Administration.

On roll call vote; the motion carried with Martha Clarvoe, John Mattas, Olga Podmajersky, John Thayer, Harold Vroman and Edward Wesnofske voting aye and David Parker and Philip Skowfoe voting nay.

**11. Closing**

Mr. Vroman made a motion to adjourn; Mr. Mattas seconded and on voice call the meeting adjourned at 4:50 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,  
Judith Beeler, Confidential Secretary

---

**MONTGOMERY - OTSEGO - SCHOHARIE SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY**Executive Director's Report

Summary of Activity 12/1/09 – 12/9/09:

- Held site supervisors meeting.
- Attended Otsego County Board meeting regarding the Post Closure Agreement
- Attended Labor Management meeting ( job posting, tarping stations, employee relocations, winter clothing, vacation schedules)
- Eliminated NTS/part-timers van use.
- Participated in MOSA Finance Committee meeting.
- Updated County Subsidy Agreements.
- Met with maintenance staff; general discussion and Slips/Falls Training.
- Met with Terry Bliss regarding Otsego County – MOSA relationship and concerns.
- Readjusted Schoharie Transfer Station Operations to provide safer and more efficient delivery/unloading process.
- Met with Montgomery County Finance Committee regarding 2010 Subsidy Agreement.
- Met with Terry Bliss and Otsego Board Chairman to sign Post Closure Agreement. Thank you to Terry for facilitating the signing of the agreement.
- OTS free clean-up days. MOSA processed 182.76 tons during three days. Relates to 329 tickets/vehicles. Exceptionally busy; about 242.35 tons brought in during clean-up. Thank you very much to staff.
- Defeasance project is in process and is an agenda item.
- Leachate collection facilities at both landfills have been televised and cleaned.
- Montgomery County is pursuing a demolition job in Amsterdam; an estimated 200 ton project.
- Auditors have been in to do preliminary work; need to sign engagement letter.
- Also involved with OTS construction project. One wall has been poured; floor is done. Savings to Authority due to retaining existing walls and footings. OTS Tip Wall (change order to OTS construction project – by motion)



			<b>August</b>		
September	Revenue Fund	122,295.08	Municipal Solid Waste Tons	8732.01	8193.12
	T & D	512,874.21	Tires (\$25/ ton)	19.6	
	Debt Service	181,219.13	Recycleable - Trans (\$375-08; \$350-09)	4	3
	Reserves	-	Special Waste Tons (\$100/ton)		
	Payroll & Retirement	60,834.62	Surcharge	4591.67	
	<b>September Disbursements To Date</b>	<u>877,223.04</u>	Total	\$ 553,368.71	\$ 512,874.21
			<b>September</b>		
October	Revenue Fund	127,723.65	Municipal Solid Waste Tons	8746.77	
	T & D	602,848.39	Tires (\$25/ ton)	35.12	
	Debt Service	181,219.13	Recycleable - Trans (\$375-08; \$350-09)	3	3
	Reserves	-	Special Waste Tons (\$100/ton)		
	Payroll & Retirement	60,708.06	Surcharge		
	<b>October Disbursements To Date</b>	<u>972,499.23</u>	Total	\$ 526,721.73	\$ 1,050.00
			<b>October</b>		
November	Revenue Fund	91,017.48	Municipal Solid Waste Tons	8689.07	
	T & D	572,897.13	Tires (\$25/ ton)	20.35	
	Debt Service	181,254.87	Recycleable - Trans (\$375-08; \$350-09)	4	
	Reserves	-	Special Waste Tons (\$100/ton)		
	Payroll & Retirement	67,243.76	Surcharge		
	<b>November Disbursements To Date</b>	<u>912,413.24</u>	Total	\$ 523,266.06	\$ -
			<b>November</b>		
YTD	Revenue Fund	1,798,495.18	Municipal Solid Waste Tons	97,329.93	59,853.72
	T & D	5,728,306.66	Tires (\$25/ ton)	229.99	81.87
	Debt Service	1,976,169.35	Recycleable - Trans (\$375-08; \$350-09)	40.00	27.00
	Reserves	334,679.52	Special Waste Tons (\$100/ton)	390.55	3,424.58
	Payroll & Retirement	925,416.58	Surcharge	28,332.83	-
	<b>YTD Disbursements</b>	<u>11,675,577.10</u>	Total	\$ 6,068,495.90	\$ 4,093,016.64